

*English version of  
forwards, introduction, abstracts and authors' biographical notes\**

translated by Serena Leone

[rev. 30 November 2017]

From the book

[Building Back Better.](#)  
[Idee e percorsi per la creazione di comunità resilienti](#)

a cura di

Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini,  
Laura Sartori, Vania Virgili

Carocci, Roma, 2017, ISBN 9788843090600

*Excerpted from the back cover*

The essays collected in the volume *Building Back Better: idee e percorsi per la costruzione di comunità resilienti* (edited by F. Esposito, M. Russo, M. Sargolini, L. Sartori, V. Virgili) shed light on what should be made now in Italy to mobilize the best energies to start a virtuous cycle, in agreement with the United Nations' Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030.

The volume certainly concerns building back better, but also all the preventive measures which turn into a priority in contexts characterized by social and economic vulnerabilities, in addition to natural hazards.

The scientific community has the duty to boost some conditions increasing the resilience of territories and communities at risk or already affected. Only a prolific and concrete dialogue among the various kinds of knowledge, competences and responsibilities of the actors involved will allow the implementation of adequate actions aimed at obtaining incisive and lasting results in support of territories and communities.

An international hub, characterized by many multidisciplinary contributions, can promote a critical mass of research and innovation, as proven is this volume, by encouraging a fruitful debate with policy makers to plan and implement concrete actions in the territories.

The authors. The volume gathers the contributions of researches from Italian universities (Bologna, Camerino, Florence, Macerata, Modena and Reggio Emilia, Marche Polytechnic, Urbino), research institutes and research centres (Euro-Mediterranean Documentation Centre for Extreme Events and Disasters, Gran Sasso Science Institute, Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics, Italian National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology) and Action Aid. The section Strategies in action collects the interviews with the Agency for Territorial Cohesion, the "Casa Italia" Plan, the Civil Protection and the Loccioni Group.

\* Serena Leone and Margherita Russo wish to thank Professor Franca Poppi, coordinator of the master's degree programme in Languages for communication in international enterprises and organization (LACOM) for supporting the project of the website construction. This website is aimed at disseminating the information about the book in English. A special thanks goes to the editors and authors of the book for their support in checking the translation, and to the Publisher who agreed to have these translations made available on the web.

## **Building Back Better.**

### **Ideas and pathways for the creation of resilient communities**

by Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori, Vania Virgili

WITH THE COLLABORATION OF

**Researchers from the universities of:**

Bologna  
Camerino  
Macerata  
Modena and Reggio Emilia  
Marche Polytechnic  
Urbino

**Research institutes and research centres:**

Euro-Mediterranean Documentation Centre for Extreme Events and Disasters  
Gran Sasso Science Institute  
Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics  
Italian National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology  
Labor-University of Florence

**Researchers of**

Action Aid

INTERVIEWEES

**Maria Ludovica Agrò** (Agency for Territorial Cohesion)

**Giovanni Azzone** (Piano Casa Italia)

**Fabrizio Curcio** (Protezione Civile)

**Enrico Loccioni** (Loccioni Group)

<b>Fernando Ferroni's Foreword</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Carlo Doglioni's Foreword</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Why we Need an Urgent Debate</b>	<b>7</b>
<i>A cura di Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori e Vania Virgili</i>	7
<b>RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>KNOWING, UNDERSTANDING AND COMMUNICATING NATURAL DISASTERS</b>	<b>9</b>
Risk prevention: A Three-Act Tragedy	9
<i>Nicola Casagli, University of Florence</i>	9
Getting to know, Assessing and Preventing Natural Hazards: three challenges for 21th century Italy	11
<i>Gianluca Valensise, Italian National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology</i>	11
Seismic Disasters and Reconstructions in Italian History. The Seismic Hazard in an Unresolved Historical Issue	11
<i>Emanuela Guidoboni, Euro-Mediterranean Documentation Centre for Extreme Events and Disasters</i>	11
The Role of Communication	11
<i>Stefano Martello and Biagio Oppi, Italian Federation of Public Relations (FERPI)</i>	11
<b>INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES</b>	<b>12</b>
Social innovation: Resilience and Vulnerability of Communities and Territories	12
<i>Laura Sartori, University of Bologna</i>	12
The Earth Trembles: Learning from the Emergency to Build Resilient Communities	12
<i>Ezio Scatolini and Federica Colli, University of Florence</i>	12
Thousands of Different Local Communities, all Equally Vulnerable	12
<i>Elisa Lello and Fabio Turato, University of Urbino</i>	12
Individuality and Community: ICT in Emergency Phase	13
<i>Christian Quintili, ActionAid and Matteo Tempestini, "Terremoto Centro Italia" Project</i>	13
<b>CULTURE, ECONOMY AND ENVIRONMENT: New Development Paths</b>	<b>13</b>
Existing Masonry Buildings and Earthquake: Innovative Technologies to Increase Resilience	13
<i>Stefano Lenci, Pardo Antonio Mezzapelle and Francesco Clementi, Marche Polytechnic University</i>	13
Resilient Cultural Heritage: Research and Innovation Contributions	13
<i>Vania Virgili, Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics</i>	13
Natural Disaster Impact: University, Diffused Cultural Heritage and Economic Analysis	14
<i>Alessio Cavicchi, Mara Cerquetti, Rosita Pretaroli and Claudio Socci, University of Macerata</i>	14
Analysis Data and Tools to Build Back Better	14
<i>Margherita Russo and Paolo Silvestri, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia</i>	14
The Role of an Advanced Research Centre in Territorial Development	14
<i>Eugenio Coccia and Alessandra Faggian, Gran Sasso Science Institute</i>	14
Regenerating Landscapes	15
<i>Massimo Sargolini, University of Camerino</i>	15
Development Paths in Agro-food Industry and Livestock Farming	15
<i>Annette Habluetzel, University of Camerino, and Francesco Pagliacci, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia</i>	15
<b>INFRASTRUCTURES: Organizational, Technical and Legislative</b>	<b>15</b>
Local Authorities, Reconstruction and Reorganisation: between Regulatory Constraints and Incidental Needs	15
<i>Anna Francesca Pattaro and Marco Ranuzzini, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia</i>	15
Telecommunication Infrastructures in Emergency Scenarios	16
<i>Maurizio Casoni, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia</i>	16
Emergency Management Regulations: Why We Need a National Law	16
<i>Margherita Russo and Simone Scagliarini, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia</i>	16
A Continuous Multidisciplinary Training: the EmTASK Course Case	16
<i>Paolo Lauriola, Regional Agency for Environmental Protection and Prevention in the Emilia-Romagna region (Arpae), Enrico Giovannetti, Simona Marchetti Dori and Mauro Soldati, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia</i>	16

<b>STRATEGIES IN ACTION</b>	<b>17</b>
How to Build Back Better: a Dialogue with the Public and Private Sectors	17
<i>by Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori, Vania Virgili</i>	17
Considerations about the Dialogue between Strategies	19
<i>by Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori, Vania Virgili</i>	19
<b>THE AUTHORS</b>	<b>21</b>

## **Fernando Ferroni's Foreword**

Natural disasters bring about enormous losses in terms of human lives, destruction of buildings and damages to the quality of territory. However, disasters do also affect the communities as populations are uprooted from the territory, productive and commercial activities are interrupted, cultural heritage, traditions and collective memories are lost. All in all, these factors also affect the people's confidence in the institutions.

According to the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, the seriousness of a disaster is strictly correlated with the choices we make about our lives and the environment. Such choices are about the way we produce food, how and where we build our houses, what kind of social policies we adopt, and how our economic system works. So, all of our actions can turn us into more vulnerable or more resilient to disasters.

Adopting a coherent and holistic approach to disasters risk management is a challenging issue. Decisions should be taken considering economic, social, cultural and ecologically sustainable prerequisites which are validated on an evidence base. Research can provide us with those prerequisites, which must be intended to shape some solid, conscious and thoughtful long-run development policies.

That is what this volume tries to demonstrate by gathering together an array of multidisciplinary competences and knowledge. It is conceived as an innovative solution and good practices' review, which aims, through a collaborative approach, to translate research results into real-world applications at the disposal of individuals and communities. These applications can be efficiently put into practice by national and local governments, by the institutions in charge of training and preparing emergency responses, as well as by communities themselves.

The ability to anticipate, prevent (whenever possible), train, respond and better reconstruct (Building Back Better) is the result of a shared awareness. It is therefore necessary to assure that the disaster risk reduction finds increasing expression in European research policies.

We can and we must, of course, undertake concrete actions as soon as possible in our country too. There exist excellent studies, analyses and technologies concerning natural disasters (the following pages provide abundant proofs). Nevertheless, there is still not a centralised base, a hub of multidisciplinary knowledge that could contribute to the enhancement of an efficient and shared catastrophes management policy.

An international level centre for advanced studies situated in the very territories affected by the recent seismic events in Central Italy could represent a key resource in the formation of professionals with a strong expertise and a strong interdisciplinary openness. Moreover, it could also be essential for bringing about some relevant outcomes that might improve the resilience of individuals and communities, manufactures and the territory.

Fernando Ferroni

*President of the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics (INFN)*

## **Carlo Doglioni's Foreword**

Earthquakes, tsunamis, volcano eruptions and landslips are phenomena which are part of the natural evolution of the Earth since they are determined by the relentless force of plate tectonics.

Men should necessarily increase their knowledge of those phenomena in order to deal with them and safeguard human lives and their tangible and intangible properties. In-depth information and subsequent risk awareness are the first steps leading to a different relationship with natural disasters.

The earthquakes that have struck Central Italy in the last decades highlighted the strong vulnerability and exposition to which we are subjected. Seismic events force us to make an overall evaluation that cannot neglect the necessity of a collection of competences that are aimed at regenerating the social, economic and urban fabric. They must comprise the need of renovating and safeguarding cultural roots by looking to the future with a view to implementing post-earthquake reconstruction or anti-seismic adaptation projects. Such projects shall integrate several disciplines ranging from geosciences to anti-seismic engineering, history, economics and urban planning. They will be aimed at job creation as the absolute priority for the preservation of the territorial communities.

The signs of natural disasters shall remain clearly visible as a memento for future generations and become an integral part in the collective memory for a deep sharing of a new relationship between Man and Nature.

So, it is time for a cultural renaissance, with scientific knowledge as the multi-disciplinary platform on which the future of Italy can be built and rebuilt, so as to give origin to a different world, one in which quality of life improvement is the primary objective to achieve. This objective will be attained by respecting the environment, safeguarding the inherited cultural heritage and increasing its resilience, in a way: by being led by virtue and knowledge (*per seguir virtute e canoscenza*).

The authors and editors of this volume are proposing an integrated action plan which is certainly worthy of attention. Culture, prevention and economy are the key words on which to create a centre of studies that will play a crucial role in boosting the national development and implementing at the same time a risk safeguarding advanced policy. Indeed, the planet we live in constantly holds some risks for us, so we should learn to accept and mitigate them.

Carlo Doglioni

*President of the Italian National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV)*

## Why we Need an Urgent Debate

*A cura di Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori e Vania Virgili*

The earthquakes that struck the city of L'Aquila (Central Italy) in 2009, the Emilia area (Northern Italy) and a very extended area in four regions in Central Italy in 2016 had a catastrophic effect: 634 persons died, thousands of people were injured and evacuated from their homes, extensive damages were suffered by material, cultural and relational heritage. Tragedies that, once again, have revealed the vulnerability of some largely unprepared communities and institutions to cope with such a foreseeable and foreseen risk.

The *preparedness argument* regarding natural disasters has been at the centre of attention of the UNISDR (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction), the United Nations agency dedicated to natural disaster risk reduction for decades. Since 2005, the Agency has actively guided governments and local communities in straightening their natural disasters prevention skills (whenever possible), in reducing (always) the vulnerability of the communities exposed to risk, so as to increase their resilience.

In the Framework for Action of 2015 proposed in Sendai (Japan), also known as *Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction*, the UNISDR reiterates two key factors to focus on: firstly, individuals, communities and socio-economic organizations' preparedness to cope with natural disasters and associated risks through appropriate measures reducing its impact at all levels (individual, social and economic); secondly, the post-disasters intervention in order to better reconstruct, by conceiving the reconstruction as a chance to mitigate the consequences of future disasters. Namely, through the improvement of population working and living conditions and the promotion of democracy and active citizenship in the struck areas.

The expression *Building Back Better* embraces all of this, it is a principle applying not only to buildings or material infrastructures.

The Sendai Framework reiterates the need for an action plan involving many interconnected aspects: the improvement in living conditions and employment opportunities, the environmental sustainability and a better quality of health, an increased individuals' and communities' awareness. The latter might be attained through a training process aimed at reducing their material, social and psychological vulnerability. Also the strengthening of the development potential, so as to make communities, social and economic organizations, public institutions and territories less vulnerable and more resilient. Therefore, with an increased resilience the risks associated to nature's power will lead to less destructive effects.

After having considered the state-of-the-art knowledge and communication on natural disasters, the 'Research Contributions' section will move on to the question of social innovation and medium and long-term perspectives of the communities struck by catastrophic events. The following papers focus on culture and environment development in accordance with the *Building Back Better* paradigm. They carry out an analysis of the effects of administrative, technical and juridical infrastructures on communities and territories' resilience. The book ends with four interviews conducted by the editors, who imagined an ideal dialogue among the 'Strategies in action' already implemented by the government, by exposing the point of view of the involved national institutions and that of an entrepreneur from the Marche region.

This volume describes the main challenges and opportunities for research and the possibility of translating them into policies and practices for disaster risk reduction. Particular emphasis is placed on those preventive measures involving all levels of society: from governments to citizens, from the public sector to the private one. The necessity to develop a connection between science, politics and practical solutions should be considered, indeed, as a priority in the implementation of the Sendai Framework.

The scientific community, which can contribute with its tools and knowledge to the study of natural disasters' effects, has the duty to boost some conditions increasing the resilience of territories and communities at risk or already affected. Therefore, thanks to its competences, it can efficiently contribute to supporting the process through which the reconstruction can take place. Furthermore, by engaging in a prolific dialogue between the many multidisciplinary kinds of knowledge at stake, it is possible to obtain extraordinary results in support of the actions to be undertaken. Actions in which the local communities' participation is essential if we consider the vastness and heterogeneity of the territories affected by seismic events in Italy only over the last decade: more than three thousand towns covering approximately 45 % of the whole national territory, accounting for 40 % of total population and 31 % of working population. Those are territories that significantly differ in altimetric data, population density, demographic trends, economic and productive structure, together with health, education and transport infrastructures' conditions. The challenge taken up by a vast team of researchers in this volume was to advance an interpretation of the connections between the several elements of analysis.

Their goal is to draw the attention of the national community on the need to raise awareness about what should be made now to start a virtuous cycle, in agreement with the Sendai Framework, and on which resources to mobilize for promptly improving our country's condition.

From the contributions of a plurality of disciplines and scientific sensibilities involved, it is possible to shed light on the need to support research on those themes and to engage a critical mass of researchers for filling the existing knowledge gaps. So, there is a strong need for research and innovation, both incremental and radical.

Unfortunately, what makes Italy such a beautiful country, is, at the same time, what makes it so vulnerable to the effects of natural disasters. Therefore, it is reasonable to present this book as an international hub intended to deepen the understanding of natural disasters risk reduction, and to submit that idea firstly to the Italian Chamber of Deputies VII Culture, Science and Innovation Committee. This priority is motivated by the role that the Parliament, and particularly the VII Committee, can play in mobilising the most powerful forces of our country.

*Ministry of Education, University and Research, Technical Secretariat of the Department for the universities, higher education establishments in art, music and dance*  
*University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*  
*University of Camerino*  
*University of Bologna*  
*Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics and the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism*



# RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS

## KNOWING, UNDERSTANDING AND COMMUNICATING NATURAL DISASTERS

### **Risk prevention: A Three-Act Tragedy**

*Nicola Casagli, University of Florence*

#### **Act I: Once upon a time there was a King**

Once upon a time there was a king who ruled a newly-founded country, which had been chronically affected by all sorts of geological disasters since ancient times.

One day, a massive earthquake destroyed some cities of the Realm.

Tens of thousands of men, women and children lost their lives under the rubble. Other tens of thousands of people run to find shelter on the beach, but were swept away by the giant waves triggered by the earthquake, because they had not been informed about the existence of tsunamis.

More than one hundred thousand died and countless people were injured or lost their homes. Entire cities were wiped out by the tsunami.

It was a disaster of biblical proportions.

The whole population of the State was shocked by the sheer misfortune.

The king relied on two wise counsellors, experts in geology and engineering: after he had briefly consulted them, he issued a decree compulsorily prohibiting the reconstruction of what had been destroyed by natural disasters.

Not only did he decree this, but he also prohibited all future buildings in the entire country's risk areas. Unfortunately, what had already been built in the risk areas had to be relocated, in other words, moved to a safer area.

To do that, the government allocated adequate funds for a state safety program.

The citizens of that country started gathering information on the security of the territory, by consulting experts – geologists and engineers – and acted in accordance with the information they had collected.

The administrators strictly applied the decree norms, by issuing building permits only after proper verifications of soil stability and security.

Since that day, the subjects of that wise King started building only robust houses in safe areas. A less vulnerable and more resilient community was born, in today's language.

Of course, as in fairy tales, they lived happily ever after.

#### **Act II: This is a true story ...**

The King's name was Victor Emmanuel III, prince of Savoy and the year 1908, when he was ruling over Italy. The cities were Messina and Reggio Calabria.

On December 28, 1908 at 5:20 a.m. local time a devastating earthquake occurred, with a magnitude of  $M=7.2$ , more than thirty times stronger than the earthquakes that were to strike Central Italy almost a hundred years later. It

reached the Mercalli intensity of XI, corresponding to catastrophic earthquakes.

The Prime Minister of Italy, whose name was Giovanni Giolitti, was the head of a fragile coalition government primarily engaged in solving the longstanding internal debt problem. Exactly as we do today.

The economic damage caused by the earthquake and the tsunami has been estimated to amount to 600 million of Italian liras, corresponding today to more than 2.5 billion euros.

The whole country was mobilized, with his usual great generosity, to send volunteers, to host homeless persons and to provide assistance and funds to the affected populations. Great support came from all over Europe, Russia and the United States.

Only four months later, the Royal Decree No. 193 was formulated on April 18th 1909, containing technical standards for the strengthening and reconstruction of existing buildings, and the design of new buildings in the area affected by the earthquake. This decree was based on the following criteria:

*No new buildings or rebuilding on fractured, unstable and steep lands, or on lands which could convey vibrations and turbulent stress to buildings, because of different geological constitution or different resistance of their parts.*

These few lines concentrate, in a very concise and essential way, the solution to the risk prevention issue. 20th century experts did understand that, in order to avoid any risk, it was just necessary not to reconstruct existing buildings nor to erect new buildings in the most hazardous areas.

All subsequent legislation so far did nothing but repropose again the same issue, although not in such a clear and direct way.

### **Act III: ...but ended differently**

So far, the story exactly matches the fairy tale. But, unfortunately, the Italians did not have any happy ending with the geological safety theme.

To cope with this great disaster the government actually adopted some building technical standards prohibiting, in a crystal-clear way, to build or rebuild on areas subject to geological and land instability. However, as can be seen to date, there has never been a less respected norm. Additionally, today's problem of Italian seismic risk is far more serious than it was at the beginning of the century.

Over the last years, our country has been affected by a strong earthquake every 6 years. And every 3 years<sup>1</sup>, on average, a new definition of building standards has been issued. However, rather than keep on issuing new regulations the best solution could be enforcing at least the existing ones.

<sup>1</sup> Sources: Italian National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV) Parametric Catalogue of Italian Earthquakes 2015, Italian National Association for Earthquake Engineering (INAE/ANIDIS)

## **Getting to know, Assessing and Preventing Natural Hazards: three challenges for 21st century Italy**

*Gianluca Valensise, Italian National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology*

*In the last eight years, Italy has been struck again by earthquakes and again has been caught tragically unprepared. Acknowledged as the cradle of Seismology and now at the forefront of research on earthquake prevention, Italy turns out to be unable to protect herself anew. Italy has forgotten to promote knowledge about earthquakes, leaving the country, therefore, in the grip of a pre-scientific instability on such a crucial theme. The National Research Programme 2015-2020 does not include the theme among its priorities, in an already stingy European context with respect to the field of research and innovation. Lastly, for almost two decades the country has systematically procrastinated the implementation of more stringent seismic standards at all levels (national, regional, local). Consequently, future generations are going to live in an even weaker country than the one in which we have grown up in. What still needs to happen before the seismic safety issue finally enters our governs' political agenda?*

## **Seismic Disasters and Reconstructions in Italian History. The Seismic Hazard in an Unresolved Historical Issue**

*Emanuela Guidoboni, Euro-Mediterranean Documentation Centre for Extreme Events and Disasters*

*Italy is struck, on average, every four or five years by a highly destructive earthquake, which brings down countries and even cities for decades. Those extreme events, which have existed for centuries and are being studied in great detail by specific research areas – in which Italy is at the forefront – are not known to the wider audiences in the country. Neither are they perceived as a 'permanent' characteristic of the physical and social environment. More than 4,800 sites (towns, villages and cities) since the Middle Ages have suffered serious damages requiring extensive reconstruction which modified not only the architectural nature of many monuments and attractions, but also networks of settlements. Generally, when a strong earthquake occurs, it erodes the historical and artistic heritage, starting or accelerating depopulation processes, with the subsequent abandonment of monuments and historic sites. Nevertheless, since it is the national community which is bearing the costs of reconstructions, rebuilding has become a synonym for great opportunity and a new way to plan the future. Yet still there is no national law regulating objectives and strategies.*

## **The Role of Communication**

*Stefano Martello and Biagio Oppi, Italian Federation of Public Relations (FERPI)*

*What is, and what will be the role of communication in the processes of environmental crisis management and response? Stefano Martello and Biagio Oppi offer a detailed answer to this question, starting from a strong multi-disciplinary action scenario providing economic, productive, psychological and environmental sustainability evaluations. Although with a necessary forward-looking approach, this contribution targets our present issues highlighting the most vulnerable areas. It is on the strengthening and implementation of those areas that the more and more central role of communication will depend. Namely, in terms of citizens' participations, crisis management and orientation in the still*

*underestimated reconstruction and recovery phase. There are three strategic tasks requiring –even before than a methodological framework – a transversal cultural accreditation involving private and public organizations, and decision-makers at all levels.*

## **INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES**

### **Social innovation: Resilience and Vulnerability of Communities and Territories**

*Laura Sartori, University of Bologna*

*The paper aims at linking social innovation to the sociology of disasters. This is an area of research, which emphasizes the social, political and economic dimension of catastrophic events (such as earthquakes and floods), in addition to the natural dimension, in the analysis of effects and total damages. In this scenario, the communities play a central role in the local governance, as also recognised by the Sendai Framework. Vulnerability and resilience are two key aspects of the community which should be evaluated jointly when addressing a ‘disaster cycle’, with special reference to the prevention and risk reduction phases. In this sense, an approach of social innovation can contribute to rethinking and contextualizing the community and territory’s socio-economic characteristics, which can be both sources of vulnerability and resilience.*

### **The Earth Trembles: Learning from the Emergency to Build Resilient Communities**

*Ezio Scatolini and Federica Colli, University of Florence*

#### **Abstract**

*This paper illustrates the work of a group of volunteer experts who projected and cooperated in the creation of a post-emergence community support service, successfully combining solidarity, initiative and psychosocial-educational competence.*

*Those bottom-up forces have provided a concrete example of intervention based on solid conceptual prerequisites, among which we can list: the promotion of the community competence, health promotion and disease prevention, action research, and the open system concept. Furthermore, this paper illustrates some of the fundamental principles of community psychology that create the conditions to increase the collective resilience of the affected community. So, it is the task of the political institutions to include comparable official protocols that might be readily integrated into the existing emergency management plans. In particular, the emphasis is on the necessity for professionals to get adequately prepared for their roles.*

### **Thousands of Different Local Communities, all Equally Vulnerable**

*Elisa Lello and Fabio Turato, University of Urbino*

*When planning the post-earthquake reconstruction not only structural variables come into play. The social variables, and particularly the social capital concept, play a crucial role. The affected territories present a socio-economic and urban structure in line with the Third Italy model. Such model has not severed a diffused development, but has rather straightened the reciprocal ties and networks with the territory. Yet, not only did the economic*

*model suffer a severe setback, but also the social cohesion and the community networks revealed a certain degree of weakening, which made the territory more vulnerable. So, it is important to reconstruct and repair the social fabric through participatory planning approaches, which turn out to be some effective tools for converting the traumatic event into a driver for social regeneration. Furthermore, those approaches can foster real policies and can stimulate the elaboration of a response plan limiting the sense of impotence and resignation in the affected communities.*

### **Individuality and Community: ICT in Emergency Phase**

*Christian Quintili, ActionAid and Matteo Tempestini, “Terremoto Centro Italia” Project*

*When natural disasters occur, the cooperation between citizens and institutions is essential. To achieve it, a careful information management and the intervention of digital communities based on the civic hacking culture are necessary, since they might provide solutions to social problems by using open technologies. Two examples of this kind of cooperation are Open Ricostruzione and Terremoto Centro Italia, born respectively following the 2012 Emilia earthquake and the 2016 Central Italy earthquake. Those examples differ mainly in the informative flow: from institutions to citizens in the former case, and from citizens to institutions in the latter case. The interrelationship between these approaches, in terms of technology, typology of persons involved and relationship with the territory, can generate a qualifying ecosystem capable of increasing the territory resilience and guaranteeing a better reconstruction.*

## **CULTURE, ECONOMY AND ENVIRONMENT: New Development Paths**

### **Existing Masonry Buildings and Earthquake: Innovative Technologies to Increase Resilience**

*Stefano Lenci, Pardo Antonio Mezzapelle and Francesco Clementi, Marche Polytechnic University*

*An urban system resilience towards seismic events essentially depends on the building features of the architectural and construction fabric. The majority of the building stock, including the most recent reinforced concrete part, has been constructed without meeting anti-seismic standards. Thus, it is necessary to think up some innovative technologies to increase building resilience. This is the case of the Equivalent Frame Method, mostly used due to its modelling ease and low computational resources requirement. Another example is the Finite Element Method (FEM), which is still mainly used in research for its modelling complexity and computational burden.*

### **Resilient Cultural Heritage: Research and Innovation Contributions**

*Vania Virgili, Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics*

*The disaster risk reduction paradigm in the Building Back Better priority of the Sendai Framework finds its application also in the protection of cultural heritage. Research has the responsibility for proposing and realizing innovative solutions and action plans. Not*

*only to increase sites, museums and monuments resilience, but also the community ability to deal with disasters effects. Heritage is not a static element but a dynamic system of community-territory interaction and risk adaptation. The array of good practices highlights the possibilities and limitations of the Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) implementation in an ecosystem as fragile as the Italian one, where culture is part of the environment and its communities. So, the desired direction is that of a stronger and stronger cooperation between local authorities, entrepreneurs and citizens, in which research results are effectively applied, transferred and communicated.*

### **Natural Disaster Impact: University, Diffused Cultural Heritage and Economic Analysis**

*Alessio Cavicchi, Mara Cerquetti, Rosita Pretaroli and Claudio Socci, University of Macerata*

*The example of the University of Macerata represents a starting point for discussing the role of universities in the post-earthquake reconstruction strategies. Universities are called upon to rethink their teaching, research and third mission, in favour of place-based policies that could encourage the relationship between researchers, stakeholders and local communities. In order to be really efficient, the interventions on cultural heritage should focus on the integrated enhancement of all assets that characterize the territory. For instance, through preventive conservation plans, networks and itineraries promoting the relationship between museum sites, diffused cultural heritage and local productions. The economic revitalization plan of the affected local economies requires an impact assessment focusing on the affected areas' productive activities, and the key sectors that can facilitate the economic recovery. Also, the plan should assess the impact of public and private investments and evaluate the ex ante and ex post effectiveness of the action undertaken.*

### **Analysis Data and Tools to Build Back Better**

*Margherita Russo and Paolo Silvestri, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*

*The speed and efficiency of the reconstruction depend on many conditions rooted before the disaster occurs – in the institutions, each individual and local communities' skills, and in the network of relationships linking the different sites. The extraordinary emergency and reconstruction action restores interrupted ties, embarks upon new projects, and accelerates transformation processes that marked the territory before the disaster changed it. Indeed, the competences of many private organizations and public administrations operating in a variety of spheres are put into action. Under normal circumstances, the efficiency of those actions is evident within each sector, but the emergency and the reconstruction reveal their close interconnection. So, essential data supporting those interconnections should become part of the common heritage available to everybody: public administrations, scientific research, citizens, private organization and voluntary work.*

### **The Role of an Advanced Research Centre in Territorial Development**

*Eugenio Coccia and Alessandra Faggian, Gran Sasso Science Institute*

*Infrastructure investments and physical capital are clearly indispensable conditions that encourage growth. But they are not enough when there is a lack of knowledge that prevents taking advantage of them. It has been decades since the concept of human capital became*

*a central element in the debate over a region's growth and success factors, being associated to positive externalities. In other words, a more educated population correlates positively with a higher degree of entrepreneurship and innovation and, as a consequence, with a stronger economic growth. That is why the presence of important research centres (INFN - National Institute for Nuclear Physics and LNGS – Gran Sasso National Laboratories) and an international PhD school (GSSI – Gran Sasso Science Institute) can revamp local economies, assuring a continuous and dynamic interaction between the stakeholders, in pursuit of a more knowledge-based economy.*

### **Regenerating Landscapes**

*Massimo Sargolini, University of Camerino*

*Landscape is the result of the interaction between various natural and cultural components, conveying a feeling that is strictly linked to the population residing there. The damage deriving from a disaster can be double: on the one hand, the destruction and the related physical loss of assets (historic-artistic, archaeological, environmental) marking a site's landscape features; on the other hand, the local population abandonment of the devastated areas. Indeed, a site lacking any landscape value cannot keep its attractiveness, so it enters a negative cycle that fosters the sharpening of marginalization and abandonment. The disaster response from a site's endogenous forces, focused on the search for a more resilient and sustainable new territorial equilibrium, is the best way to trigger durable development pathways that can give back to us better landscapes and more solid communities.*

### **Development Paths in Agro-food Industry and Livestock Farming**

*Annette Habluetzel, University of Camerino, and Francesco Pagliacci, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*

*The 2016 seismic sequence had a strong negative impact on Marche agro-food industry, and particularly on the livestock production chain. In addition to some structural features, the vulnerability of that sector is also related to the degree of local heterogeneity (livestock typologies, farming systems, geographical location). Among other things, important policy implications should be considered in the development of proper contingency plans, so as to improve risk management practices and future local development plans. With regard to those action plans, all considered necessary in accordance with the Sendai Framework line of reasoning, this paper suggests a research and action line, which is rooted in the analysis of the structural and economic characteristics of Marche's livestock breeding. Therefore, the final goal is highlighting the vulnerabilities of production, by considering the damages reported to productive structures and livestock farming.*

## **INFRASTRUCTURES: Organizational, Technical and Legislative**

### **Local Authorities, Reconstruction and Reorganisation: between Regulatory Constraints and Incidental Needs**

*Anna Francesca Pattaro and Marco Ranuzzini, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*

*In the event of natural disasters, the local authorities are called upon to play a crucial role in managing the emergency, especially during the reconstruction. Local authorities are*

*both victims of the disaster and responsible for finding the right solutions for citizens and local stakeholders; they must respect the existing regulatory framework and emergency legislations. In fact, many local authorities had to reinvent their own activities, and their policies and services 'contents, in order to face old and new demands. The case of the Digital Model for Building Construction (MUDE – Modello Unico Digitale per l'Edilizia) is an interesting informatic platform created by the Emilia-Romagna commission, for the request and concession of public subsidies for private buildings damaged by the 2012 earthquake. This e-government approach has triggered reorganisation and adaptation dynamics, but also a search for more autonomy in the objectives laid down by higher levels of the hierarchy.*

### **Telecommunication Infrastructures in Emergency Scenarios**

*Maurizio Casoni, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*

*Natural disasters and terrorist attacks can bring about a high number of victims, damages and also massive destruction of telecommunication infrastructures playing a strategic importance in the integrated action of the various agencies operating in the field of public protection. The lack (or breakdowns) of communication between emergency operators, and also between civilians affected by the disaster, can worsen dramatically the very effects of the disaster. So, it is necessary to plan and bring into operation better communication systems, not only during the emergency phase but also before possible disastrous events. Along with the use of the most advanced telecommunication technologies, an inter-agency communication is required, to significantly increase the amount of data transmitted, the number of support services and improve the affected population resilience.*

### **Emergency Management Regulations: Why We Need a National Law**

*Margherita Russo and Simone Scagliarini, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*

*Many of the problems arising from natural disasters are always the same, and the response of the legislator (before) and of the government-appointed commission (later) may not always be appropriate. From the analysis undertaken on the ordinances issued in the event of the 2012 Emilia earthquake it is possible to classify the relevant areas for regulatory intervention. Furthermore, the analysis includes some examples of the post-earthquake economic effects in the affected families' ordinary life, such as on loans, insurance policies or service contracts for goods lost after the earthquake. The authors propose the adoption of a general law that would identify in advance a series of government measures to be promptly activated in the occurrence of natural disasters. In other words, they suggest some measures aimed at reducing the negative effects deriving from the uncertainty in families' decisions.*

### **A Continuous Multidisciplinary Training: the EmTASK Course Case**

*Paolo Lauriola, Regional Agency for Environmental Protection and Prevention in the Emilia-Romagna region (Arpae), Enrico Giovannetti, Simona Marchetti Dori and Mauro Soldati, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia*

*One of the top priorities of the Sendai Framework is the dissemination of knowledge—through individuals, communities and organization's prevention and preparedness – which can mitigate the effects of natural disasters and reduce, whenever possible, the risk for*



those to occur. In Italy, such kind of educational training is still not adequate to satisfy a growing demand for training, while already active in public administrations and volunteer activities. Following the calamitous events of recent years, in Modena, the University together with the Municipality, the Regional Agency for Prevention, Environment and Energy of Emilia-Romagna, has organized a course on territorial, environmental and health emergencies (EmTASK). This educational experience provides insights into contents, methods, didactic activities and the partnership which has been established to define objectives and methods of the course: all the relevant elements for a modular and scalable planning.

## STRATEGIES IN ACTION

### **How to Build Back Better: a Dialogue with the Public and Private Sectors**

*by Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori, Vania Virgili*

According to the Sendai Agreement (*Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030* of the United Nations<sup>2</sup>), the severity of a disaster is closely related to the choices we make for our lives and the environment. Those are choices that concern the way we produce food, how and where we build our houses, what kind of social policies we adopt, how our economic system works. Each action can make us more vulnerable or more resilient to disasters. The Sendai Framework describes seven objectives<sup>3</sup> to be fulfilled within 15 years, which require the cooperation of all countries in an action targeting 5 priority fields: understanding the risk of disasters; strengthening the governance and the disaster risk management; investing in the disaster risk reduction for resilience; improving disaster preparedness for an effective response, and “build back better” in the recovery and reconstruction phases.

To date, only 18 countries have joined the Sendai Framework, whose governments committed to carrying out actions for achieving the risk reduction objectives at national and regional levels. Only six are European countries, and among them there is still not formally Italy, although some strategic actions implemented at a national level by the Civil Protection and the “Piano Casa Italia” (a government long-term plan to safeguard the national territory) were inspired by the Sendai Framework. The Agency for Territorial Cohesion fosters those strategies, closely connected with the areas where Italy is implementing an Inner Areas Strategy (SNAI – Strategia Nazionale per le Aree Interne), which also has to do with the Sendai Framework contents.

The authorities in charge of those three public strategies have welcomed the proposal for contributing to the collection of ideas about “how to build back better”, which are presented in this volume through the answers to an interview conducted by the editors.

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.unisdr.org/we/coordinate/sendai-framework>

<sup>3</sup> The seven objectives are: substantial reduction in disaster-related deaths worldwide; substantial reduction in the number of people affected; reduction of economic losses in relation to world GDP; substantial reduction in the damages to infrastructures and in the interruptions in access to basic services, particularly in health and educational facilities, in case of catastrophes; increase in the number of countries adopting national and local disaster risk reduction strategies by 2020; Strengthening of international cooperation on the theme of disaster risk reduction; increase in the access to multi-risk early warning systems, information and disaster risk assessments.

Together with that public action, equally important is the action of the enterprises actively operating in the territory in order to support the Sendai Agreement and SNAI key points. To include also this point of view, we turned to a firm located in the Marche area, the Loccioni Group, a model company active in the local community and, therefore, promoter of solutions improving the responsiveness of individuals and communities.

The dialogue with the four interlocutors concentrated on the fundamental ‘questions’ originating from the Sendai Agreement contents. Indeed, it has the purpose of collecting some starting points for a common reflection, that might lead to the introduction of the strategies and initiatives representing each interviewee’s organization in that framework. The questions have been grouped into four macro themes:

- In which way do the strategies and initiatives of the organization you are representing relate to the priorities set out in the Sendai Agreement?
- Considering the strategies and initiatives of the organizations you are representing, how is it possible to reach an equilibrium point between the emergency management necessities and medium and long-term policies for disaster risk reduction and the territories and communities’ resilience development?
- How can the local communities’ participation foster the strategies and initiatives of the organization you are representing in the direction requested by the Sendai Framework?
- Technology and data science (big data) provide more and more precise information that, if appropriately analysed, enable the effective construction of short, medium and long-term scenarios. To what extent can the digital transformation and big data be used effectively in prevention, preparation, emergency, reconstruction and development initiatives? How can those ‘tools’ be made accessible to local authorities, communities, entrepreneurs and individuals?

The interviewees are: Maria Ludovica Agrò, Director General of the Agency for Territorial Cohesion, which coordinates the SNAI action, Giovanni Azzone, Coordinator of the Piano Casa Italia and President of the Loccioni Group.

The interviews were conducted between May and June 2017 on the premises of the interviewees, and were recorded and transcribed<sup>4</sup>.

The elaboration of their contents is presented in this volume <sup>5</sup>.

<sup>4</sup> Transcripts were made by Chiara Florini (interviews with Azzone, Agrò and Curcio), intern at CAPP-DEMB (Centre for the analysis of public policies of the Department of Economics, Univ. of Modena and Reggio Emilia), Stefano Tripi with Lucia Cambo’ and Giulia Mennuti (interview with Loccioni) from the EmTASK specialisation course and interns in the research project Energie Sisma Emilia-DEMB. The texts were revised by the volume editors and approved by the interviewees.

<sup>5</sup> An automatic analysis of the corpus created from the transcribed interviews was made by P.Pavone and M.Russo (2017), “Strategie, pubbliche e private, in azione per ri-costruire meglio. Analisi dei testi di quattro interviste”, DEMB Working Paper Series 115, [http://merlino.unimo.it/campusone/web\\_dep/wpdemb/0115.pdf](http://merlino.unimo.it/campusone/web_dep/wpdemb/0115.pdf), with the aim of offering a systematic reading of all the contents and connections between the themes. The considerations proposed in this volume, in the ‘dialogue between strategies’ section, are based on this analysis

## **Considerations about the Dialogue between Strategies**

*by Fulvio Esposito, Margherita Russo, Massimo Sargolini, Laura Sartori, Vania Virgili*

The dialogue around the four issues, which was established in the analysis of the interviews, highlights how all the strategies, although in the specificity of their respective field, are related, one way or another, to the Sendai Framework priorities. Notwithstanding the differences in role and action, they all share the same strategies: the understanding of disaster risk; the investment in risk reduction and resilience; the improvement of disaster preparedness for an effective response to disasters and for ‘building back better’ in the recovery, restoration and reconstruction phases. While, a specific task of the Civil Protection is the strengthening of governance and disaster risk management.

As regards the issue of the community involvement, which is a common point in all the strategies, we found different perspectives. Namely, according to the Agency for Territorial Cohesion the communities are the ‘objects’ of the action. They are relevant actors that need to be guided by a regulatory framework, to make the necessary set of actions possible and effective. While, according to the other strategies the communities are the ‘subjects’ of the action. In the Piano Casa Italia they are required to put into practice the solutions that will be developed, and to some extent, they come into play in the very development of such solutions. According to the Civil Protection they represent the essential force so that the prevention can widely establish roots in the population, and the emergency become more effective. Finally, as reported by Loccioni, the communities need to become the engine driving the process of environmental protection, in which new practices and development opportunities have been identified.

Data science (big data), available information, digital transformation, are all elements opening new scenarios in which all the strategies are moving towards, that require the participation of many institutions. First of all, research organizations (Universities and Research Institutes) that engender, organise and make accessible the data. But also the subjects who have the ownership of administrative data (like the Register office or the Agency of Revenue) that must participate actively so that information could be retrieved from those data. Clearly, the access and integration of data (open data) is essential, through their interaction with the world of research. In other words, with those in charge of processing data through theories and models, analysing and interpreting them. The information understanding and sharing theme is included in all of the strategies, but, to date, it has not been perceived as a priority in any of the examined actions.

Finally, the balance between emergency management and medium and long-term policies – for the prevention and development of resilient territories and communities - is fragile and linked to different areas for action. The Agency for Territorial Cohesion sets out the planning framework – and, therefore the medium-term plan – and supports its implementation. The Piano Casa Italia starts with an experimental phase to define some solutions that could improve the country’s natural disasters prevention ability. That is, buildings resilience, contributes to the strengthening of the local communities’ ability to follow the adequate development path and, consequently, mitigate the damages in the aftermath of disasters. In the Civil Protection’s perspective, the quality of the emergency response depends on the changes that would be produced in the medium and long-term period. Those changes will depend not only on the Civil Protection’s specific action, but on the

whole system of interconnections between increased knowledge and training, cultural changes (regarding individuals, communities and institutions) and institutional actions.

## THE AUTHORS

**MARIA LUDOVICA AGRÒ** – Agency for Territorial Cohesion, ([lu-dovica.agro@agenziacoesione.gov.it](mailto:lu-dovica.agro@agenziacoesione.gov.it)), since December 2014 is Director General of the Agency for Territorial Cohesion, and President of the Cohesion Action Plan's Action Group. She graduated with honours in Political Sciences at La Sapienza University of Rome with a specialisation in European Studies. In 1980, she became a member at the Ministry of Economic Development where, from 2002 to 2009 she was Director and then Director General of the Italian Patent and Trademark Office. From 2009 to 2011, she was Head of the OECD National Contact Point for Corporate Social Responsibility and, from 2011 to 2014, Co-Chairman of the Industrial and High-Technology Group for the Russia-Italy Economic Cooperation Council. In 2012 and 2013 she was Director General for the European Community Regional Policy and, from August 2013 to the end of 2014, Director General for Industrial policy, Competitiveness and Small and Medium Enterprises. She is currently Co-President in the MENA-OECD Governance Programme for SMEs policies and entrepreneurship and human capital development. She is Grand Officer of the Order of Merit of the Italian Republic and Knight of the Order of Merit of the French Republic.

**GIOVANNI AZZONE** – Piano Casa Italia ([giovanni.azzone@polimi.it](mailto:giovanni.azzone@polimi.it)) is an Italian engineer and academic. He graduated in Industrial Technologies Engineering from the Polytechnic University of Milan in 1986, specialising in economics and management. In the same university, he began his academic career in the field of engineering management, and in 1997 was appointed Full Professor of Management Control Systems. From 2002 to 2010, he was Deputy Rector of the Polytechnic University of Milan, and Rector from 2010 to 2016. He is member of the Board of Governors of the University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland, and member of the ASSOCUL-CONFINDUSTRIA Ethics Committee. Since 2015, he has been a member of the Seoul International Business Advisory Council. In May 2016, he was appointed as a member of Poste Italiane Board of Directors, while in February 2016 he became President of AREXPO SPA. In September 2016, he was designated as Project Manager for the Piano Casa Italia, which is a long-term plan requested by the Italian government to secure the country, a housing, territory and urban areas valorisation project.

**NICOLA CASAGLI** – University of Florence ([nicola.casagli@unifi.it](mailto:nicola.casagli@unifi.it)), is a Full Professor of Applied Geology at the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Florence. He graduated in Geology, with a master degree in Rock mechanics from the Imperial College of London and a Ph.D. in Applied geology. Mr. Casagli has been Director of the Department of Earth Sciences and member of the Academic Senate of the University of Florence. He is currently head of the Department of Civil Protection's centre of competence at the University of Florence, member of the Major Risks National Committee, Vice-president of the International Consortium on Geo-disaster Reduction, Founder and Vice-President of the International Consortium on Landslides for Europe, and member of the IPL-UNISDR World Centre of Excellence on Landslide Risk Reduction. He is also Founder and Chair Associate of the UNESCO Chair on the Prevention and Sustainable Manage-

ment of Geo-Hydrological Hazards, University of Florence, and Officer of the Order of Merit of the Italian Republic. He has written more than 400 scientific publications and 4 industrial patents.

**MAURIZIO CASONI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia** ([maurizio.casoni@unimore.it](mailto:maurizio.casoni@unimore.it)) is an Associate Professor of Telecommunications at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. His research activities concern Emergency Networks. He has been the scientific coordinator for UNIMORE in two projects of the EU 7th Framework Programme for Research: a) E-SPONDER, A Holistic Approach towards the Development of the First Responder of the Future; b) PPDR-TC, Public Protection Disaster Relief - Transformation Centre. Casoni has been Chairman of the workshop “IEEE, Emergency Networks for Public Protection and Disaster Relief” in the 2014 (Larnaca, Cipro), 2015 (Abu Dhabi, UAE) and 2016 (New York City, U.S.A.) editions, and Co-Chairman of the workshop “Next Generation Public Safety and Critical Infrastructure” within EuCNC 2015, “European Conference on Networks and Communications”, Paris 2015. Has been an invited speaker in the panel “Network Disaster Management and Recovery” for the 12<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference on “Design of Reliable Communication Networks”, Paris, 2016.

**ALESSIO CAVICCHI – University of Macerata** ([a.cavicchi@unimc.it](mailto:a.cavicchi@unimc.it)) is an Associate Professor at the Department of Educational Science, Cultural Heritage and Tourism. Research Fellow of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, his research activities concern the analysis of consumer choices in typical and quality products' markets, sustainable tourism and innovation in the agro-food sector. His works have been published in several international books and journals. He is a member of the scientific board of the British Food Journal and of the Tourism Review International, and Managing Editor of the International Food and Agribusiness Management Review (ISI – SCOPUS). He has been conducting research and acting as a consultant for many agro-food companies at European level. He is Coordinator of a European Project Erasmus+ Knowledge Alliances "The Wine Lab" and coordinated an Italian Leonardo Project – Transfer of Innovation, titled “Introducing marketing principles in the agricultural sector”.

**MARA CERQUETTI – University of Macerata** ([mara.cerquetti@unimc.it](mailto:mara.cerquetti@unimc.it)) is a researcher in Economics and Business Management at the University of Macerata (Italy), Department of Education, Cultural Heritage and Tourism. At the same University, she teaches Management and Organization of Cultural Institutions and Cultural Heritage Management at the Master degree in International Tourism and Destination Management. She has been a member of the Scientific Committee and Editorial Board of the Journal “Il Capitale culturale. Studies on the Value of Cultural Heritage”. Her research and publications focus on cultural heritage value, museum marketing, the links between culture, tourism and production chains and the relationship between cultural policy and management. In 2014 she published the book *Museum marketing and value creation. Strategies for innovating Italian museums* for the Italian publisher Franco Angeli.

**FRANCESCO CLEMENTI – Marche Polytechnic University** ([francesco.clementi@univpm](mailto:francesco.clementi@univpm)) graduated with honours in Civil Engineering from

Marche Polytechnic University in 2005. He obtained a Ph.D. in “Architecture, Buildings and Structures” from the same university during the academic year 2008-2009. Since 2012, he has been a researcher of Building Science at the Faculty of Engineering of the Marche Polytechnic University. He conducted researches and teaching activities at the Universities of Ancona (Italy), Camerino (Italy), Lublin (Poland) and Sao Paulo (Brazil). His research interests include composite materials, both from a theoretical and a practical point of view (i.e., strengthening of existing buildings), beams and laminates modelling, fracture mechanics, Structural Health Monitoring (SHM), seismic vulnerability of existing buildings with classic and innovative approaches, structural rehabilitation.

**EUGENIO COCCIA – Gran Sasso Science Institute** ([eugenio.coccia@gssi.it](mailto:eugenio.coccia@gssi.it)) is Full Professor of Experimental Physics and Director of the Gran Sasso Science Institute (INFN Center for Advanced Studies) in L’Aquila (Italy). He is renowned for the development and discovery of gravitational-wave detectors and he is one of the first who were able to directly observe black holes. He graduated with honours in Physics from La Sapienza University in Rome in 1980, working in the group of Edoardo Amaldi and Guido Pizzella. He has carried out research activities at CERN, at Leiden University in Holland, and at the INFN Laboratories of Frascati and Gran Sasso (Italy). He was Associate Professor at the University of Rome “Tor Vergata”, Director of the INFN Gran Sasso Laboratory, President of the Gravitational Wave International Committee and was appointed member of the Committee of Experts for the research policy (CERP). He was appointed member to various scientific academies, among which the “Accademia Europaea”, and is Fellow of the European Physical Society.

**FEDERICA COLLI – University of Florence** ([federica\\_colli@libero.it](mailto:federica_colli@libero.it)) is a social and occupational psychologist. She currently teaches Occupational and Organizational Climate Psychology at the School of Psychology of the University of Florence. As a freelancer, she is engaged in action research and the training of adults for different public and third sector’s organizations. She has developed a solid experience working with small groups facilitating organizational and collective changes. Indeed, she has accumulated an extensive experience of group dynamics and regularly conducts groups aimed at raising awareness on such dynamics, like the Training Group (T-Group). She works with Laborplay srl (spin off of the University of Florence) on the development of psychosocial interventions and on the creation and conduction of participatory democracy events.

**FABRIZIO CURCIO – Civil Protection Department** ([segreteria@protezionecivile.it](mailto:segreteria@protezionecivile.it)) has been Chief of National Civil Protection Department since April 2015. He graduated in Engineering and began his career in The National Fire Corps in 1994, with the rank of Fire Department Inspector. When working at the National Fire Department’s Provincial Command Headquarters in the city of Venice, among other tasks, he collaborated with the Deputy Commissioner’s Office on the reconstruction of the historical theatre of Venice “La Fenice”. He also coordinated the Veneto Region Fire Department relief operations following the 1997 Umbria and Marche earthquakes. He was in charge of coordinating the capital city Fire Brigades Unit during the 2000 Jubilee event in Rome. In 2007, he joined the National Department of Civil Protection, first as Head of the Technical Secretariat of the Head of Department Office and then nominated as Director of the

Emergency Response Management Office. While covering this position, he was called to coordinate response operations in many emergency events, such as the earthquake that hit the Abruzzo region in 2009, the 2012 Emilia earthquake and the Costa Concordia shipwreck emergency in 2013. As Head of the National Civil Protection Department he coordinated the Central Italy post-earthquake operations in 2016 and 2017.

**CARLO DOGLIONI – National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology** ([carlo.dogliani@uniroma1.it](mailto:carlo.dogliani@uniroma1.it), [presidente@ingv.it](mailto:presidente@ingv.it)) is an Italian geologist. Since April 2016, he has been President of the National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV). Born in Feltre (Italy), he graduated from the University of Ferrara in 1981 where he worked as a researcher until 1992. Later he worked as Associate Professor at the University of Bari until 1994. Then he became Full Professor at the University of Basilicata in the same year, and Full Professor of Geodynamics at La Sapienza University of Rome in 1997. He participated in the Ocean Drilling Program OPD Leg.161 in the Western Mediterranean and was Member of the Tectonics Panel of the Ocean Drilling Program. Since 1999 he has been Head of the scientific board of the Strategic Project of the National Research Council (CNR) of Italy: the CROsta Profonda (CROP) Project. From 2009 to 2014, he was President of the Italian Geological Society, since 2009 he has been a member of the Accademia dei Lincei. In 2011, he was the first geologist heading the National Academy of Sciences, also called “Accademia Nazionale dei XL”.

**FULVIO ESPOSITO – Technical Secretariat for Research Policies, Ministry for Education, University and Research** ([fulvio.esposito@miur.it](mailto:fulvio.esposito@miur.it)) is a biologist (degree at the University of Pisa, and specialisation at Scuola Normale Superiore). Since 1987, he has been Professor at the University of Camerino (Italy), where he was President of the Faculty of Science and Technology (1998-2004) and Dean (2004-2011). He has spent several years in Africa, conducting research and training on malaria. He has collaborated with the WHO and the TDR Special Programme on those research areas for about two decades. He is collaborating as an expert with the European Commission – Directorate General for Research and Innovation, and is representing Italy (Chair 2009-13) in the ‘ERA Steering Group on Human Resources and Mobility’ and in the European Research Area Committee (from November 2014). From March 2014 to February 2016, he chaired the High level group on Joint Programming of European Research (GPC). From July 2013 to March 2014, he headed the Technical Secretariat of the Ministry for Education, University and Research (MIUR). Since July 2014, he has been a member of the Technical Secretariat for Research Policies, Department of Higher Education & Research, MIUR.

**ALESSANDRA FAGGIAN – Gran Sasso Science Institute** ([alessandra.faggian@gssi.it](mailto:alessandra.faggian@gssi.it)) is Professor of Applied Economics, Director of the Department of Social Sciences and Vice Dean of the Gran Sasso Science Institute (GSSI), School of Advanced Studies located in L'Aquila, Italy. She is also President of the North American Regional Science Council (NARSC) and co-editor of the review “Papers in Regional Science”. She wrote more than 80 papers for prestigious international journals and her publications cover a wide range of topics including urban and regional economics, labour and education economics, human capital migration, local labour markets, creativity and local innovation and regional resilience. In 2007, she



received the Moss Madden Memorial Medal by the Regional Science Association International: Irish and British section (RSAIBIS) for the best paper published in the year 2006, and in 2015 she was awarded the Geoffrey Hewings Award by The North American Regional Council (NARSC) for outstanding research contribution by a young scholar in the field of regional science in North America.

**FERNANDO FERRONI – Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics** ([fernando.ferroni@presid.infn.it](mailto:fernando.ferroni@presid.infn.it)) was born in Rome in 1952. He received a physics degree from La Sapienza University of Rome where he became Full Professor in the year 2000. Since 2011 he has been President of the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics. His research activity concerns experimental aspects of Elementary Particle Physics. Ferroni worked at CERN in Geneva, first on neutrino's experiments in the 1980's, and then at the L3 experiment at LEP (the particle accelerator anticipating the Geneva LHC tunnel). At the beginning of the 1990's he started collaborating on the BaBar experiment at SLAC's PEP2 machine (Stanford, USA) for the study of the CP violation in the decay of quark "beauty". He is currently working at the Gran Sasso National Laboratory of INFN on the research of the neutrino-less double beta decay for the Experiment CUORE, and on an innovative project in the same field, financed by the European Research Council. Ferroni is author of hundreds of scientific papers and has been involved in many international committees on High Energy Physics.

**ENRICO GIOVANNETTI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia,** ([enrico.giovannetti@unimore.it](mailto:enrico.giovannetti@unimore.it)) is Associate professor of Political economy at the Department of Economics Marco Biagi, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia (UNIMORE) and member of the Center for the Analysis of Public Policies (CAPP). He teaches Economics and Environment economics and policy in the master degree course in Economics and Public Policy. Giovannetti is an industrial economist, his research activity focuses on labour markets, cooperative and sustainable systems for territorial development. Since the 2012 Emilia earthquake, he has been working on post-earthquake reconstruction processes and social effects of disasters, as a member of the research group "Energie Sima Emilia". Due to the positive relationship between disaster consequences and the fragility of social groups and marginal area (confirmed by an extensive international literature on the topic), he is also involved in the creation of methods of measurement for degradation and indicators of eco-social systems' resilience. The main theoretical framework of this research is the Elinor Ostrom's research project on the governance of common resources.

**EMANUELA GUIDOBONI – Centre for Extreme Events and Disasters (EEDIS)** ([eguidoboni@gmail.com](mailto:eguidoboni@gmail.com)) with an education background in History, is a historical seismologist and environmental historian. She has conducted researches on earthquakes, seaquakes and volcanic eruptions which have struck Italy and the Mediterranean area since 1980, providing results to Earth Sciences and developing themes on engineering, urban planning and social history. Guidoboni designed and directed the research for the "Catalogo dei Forti Terremoti in Italia dal mondo antico al 2000" (CFTI, INGV). Member of the Academia Europaea, research manager at INGV until 2011, she coordinates the activities of the EEDIS Centre. She is author of more than 170 publications, among which the first manual of historical seismology (with J.Ebel, Cambridge 2009); she wrote together with G.Valensise *Il peso economico e sociale dei disastri sismici in Italia negli ultimi 150 anni* (Bononia

University Press 2011) and *L'Italia dei disastri* (Bonomia University Press 2014); she has recently edited the volume titled *Prevedibile / Imprevedibile. Eventi estremi nel prossimo futuro* (with F.Mulargia and V.Teti, Rubbettino, 2015).

**ANNETTE HABLUETZEL** – **University of Camerino** ([annette.habluetzel@unicam.it](mailto:annette.habluetzel@unicam.it)) was born in Basel in 1957. She graduated in Biology and Sociology of Developing Countries in 1984 at the University of Basel. She has obtained her Ph.D. on ‘immuno-techniques for malaria epidemiology’ at the University of Pisa (Italy) in 1993. Since the year 2000, she has been a university researcher at the University of Camerino (Italy), where she teaches parasitology and public health at the School of Veterinary Sciences, at the School of Pharmacy and at the School of Biological Sciences. In the last years, her research activity has mainly concentrated on the evaluation of plant extracts for malaria control, but also on ecto- and endo-parasite of bred animals, with the aim of developing effective and sustainable control methods and practices both on the bio-ecological and socio-economic points of view.

**PAOLO LAURIOLA** – **Regional Thematic Centre Environment and health, Arpa Emilia-Romagna** ([paolo.lauriola@gmail.com](mailto:paolo.lauriola@gmail.com)) graduated in Medicine and Surgery and received a specialization diploma in Industrial Hygiene, Hygiene and Public Health and Medical Statistics (Epidemiology). International Certificate of Human Ecology. He was Head of the Regional Thematic Centre “Environment and Health” of ARPA Emilia-Romagna. He collaborates with the ANSES (Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l’alimentation, de l’environnement et du travail) and the EU-TAIEX (Technical Assistance Information Exchange). Paolo Lauriola is member of the Scientific Committee of the Advanced Course of Territorial and Environmental-Health Emergency EmTASK of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, and of INPHET (International Public Health & Environment Tracking). He is member of the ICON Coordination Group (International Network on Carbon Monoxide). Project leader in various European projects, he collaborated on several international and national projects. He is also author of various papers published in national and international journals.

**ELISA LELLO** – **University of Urbino Carlo Bo** ([elisa.ello@uniurb.it](mailto:elisa.ello@uniurb.it)) teaches Political Sociology at the University of Urbino “Carlo Bo” (Italy), where she takes part in the research activities promoted by LAPOLIS, the Political and Social Studies Laboratory. Her research interests include the transformations of political parties, social movements, the emerging forms of participation and civic engagement and the debate around the changes occurring within Western representative democracies. In the most recent years she has focused on the analysis of young people and generational change, with particular attention to the relationship between young people and politics in Italy. More recently she is studying the participation in socio-sanitary themes. She has published several papers on different reviews, such as “Rassegna Italiana di Sociologia”, “Rivista delle politiche sociali” and “Modern Italy”. In 2015, she published *La triste gioventù. Ritratto politico di una generazione* (Rimini, Maggioli).

**STEFANO LENCI – Marche Polytechnic University ([lenci@unvpm.it](mailto:lenci@unvpm.it))** is Professor of Building sciences at Marche Polytechnic University. He has been Professor and researcher at the universities of Camerino, Pisa, Rome “Sapienza”, and Paris VI where he spent two years and a half. Author of more than 140 papers on international scientific journals and more than 300 publications in total. He is Associate Editor of the international scientific reviews: “Mathematical Problems in Engineering”, “Meccanica”, “Nonlinear Theory and Its Applications”, “International Journal of Dynamics and Control”, “Nonlinear Dynamics”, “Journal of Vibration and Acoustics”. Stefano Lenci is member of many national and international scientific associations, editor of books and articles for more than 100 international scientific reviews and of European and American research projects. He has been international reviewer for the career advancement of researchers in American, English and Israeli universities. He regularly gives keynote or general lectures in international conferences.

**ENRICO LOCCIONI – Loccioni Group ([info@loccioni.com](mailto:info@loccioni.com))** born in the Marche countryside in 1949, today heads a leading group operating worldwide in the measurement and automation for quality and sustainability control. Clients and partners are the world leaders in their markets, operating in a variety of fields: from the automotive to household appliance, from aerospace to energy, from medical to agro-food. With installations in more than 40 countries and representative offices in America, Germany and Asia, Loccioni is engaged in looking for job opportunities in the world. His objective is to bring them to the local territory, so as to foster employment and the diffusion of knowledge. Loccioni was awarded the Ernst & Young Entrepreneur of the Year Awards in 2007 and the National Innovation Award in 2010. In 2015, the president of the Italian Republic Sergio Mattarella appointed him Knight of Labour. The dedication to people has led Loccioni to be entered 8 times in the list of the 100 “best Italian companies”.

**SIMONA MARCHETTI DORI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia ([simona.marchettidori@unimore.it](mailto:simona.marchettidori@unimore.it))** graduated in Geological Sciences from the University of Modena in 2002 and obtained a Ph.D. in Earth Sciences from UNIMORE (University of Modena and Reggio Emilia) in 2006. Since 2007, she has been a member of the Board of the Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences of UNIMORE, in charge of sedimentation, microscopy and X-ray diffraction laboratories with activities aimed at mineralogical and chemical characterization of sediments and construction materials. In particular, she has been working on the characterization of post-seismic events liquefied sediments during the 2012 Emilia earthquake. She is co-ordinator of the course EMTASK 2017.

**STEFANO MARTELLO – Italian Federation of Public Relations (Ferpi) – “Comunicare le professioni intellettuali” Task Force ([s\\_martello@hotmail.com](mailto:s_martello@hotmail.com))** is an integrated communication consultant and journalist. He is co-author of various texts on integrated communication ranging from third sector communication to professional studies’ communication. Among these, *Come comunicare il Terzo Settore* (Franco Angeli, 2010); *Il Controllo di gestione nel Terzo Settore* (Franco Angeli, 2012); *L’Organizzazione per gli Studi professionali* (Alpha Test, 2013); *Fare business in India* (Franco Angeli, 2013); *Tra profit e non profit. Le regole per una alleanza efficace* (CESVOT, 2014); *Reclutare nuovi Volontari nel Terzo Settore* (Liguori, 2014) and *La valorizzazione del volontario senior*

(CESVOT, 2017). Since 2007, he has been member of the FERPI's task force "Comunicare le professioni intellettuali". In 2007, he edited together with Biagio Oppi, for Bonomia University Press, the volume *Disastri naturali: una comunicazione responsabile? Modelli, casi reali e opportunità nella comunicazione di crisi*.

**PARDO ANTONIO MEZZAPELLE** – Marche Polytechnic University, ([p.a.mezzapelle@pm.univpm.it](mailto:p.a.mezzapelle@pm.univpm.it)) is an architectural Engineer and is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the Marche Polytechnic University. His research activity primarily concerns the seismic vulnerability and risk of the existing heritage at the various territorial levels, with a particular focus on large-scale monitoring of school buildings. He is also interested in modelling-related problems and in the numerical analysis of historical and monumental buildings. On those themes, he has worked as co-author of some scientific papers and assistant supervisor for master degree's dissertations. Furthermore, he collaborates to the planning of seismic assessment interventions on buildings damaged by earthquakes which struck the Italian territory in the last few years.

**BIAGIO OPPI** – Italian Federation of Public Relations (Ferpi) ([biagio.oppi@gmail.com](mailto:biagio.oppi@gmail.com)), currently Communication Manager for a pharmaceutical multinational, is an Adjunct Professor of Corporate Communication for the Communication Science course of the University of Bologna. He served and still serves as a member of the Italian association of PR professionals (FERPI - Italian Federation of Public Relations) in different roles and projects, among which as Delegate-at-large representing Italy in the Global Alliance for Public Relations and Communication Management. He has formerly worked for a long time as communication manager in healthcare companies, and as public relations and marketing consultant in many sectors (food & wine, travel, automotive). His main publications on the disasters and communication theme are *Disastri Naturali. Una Comunicazione responsabile?*, together with Stefano Martello, 2016, Bononia University Press, and the chapter *Post crisis: rebuilding a company, a reputation, a community* for the volume *Crisis Communication in a Digital World*, Mark Sheehan & Deirde Quinn-Allan, 2015, Cambridge University Press..

**FRANCESCO PAGLIACCI** – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia ([francesco.pagliacci@unimore.it](mailto:francesco.pagliacci@unimore.it)) graduated in International Management from the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia in 2007. In 2013, he received his Ph.D. in Agricultural Economics and Statistics from the University of Bologna. Then, he conducted research at the Marche Polytechnic University and at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. His main research interests include regional economics and rural development, with particular attention to quantitative aspects of the examined phenomena.

**ANNA FRANCESCA PATTARO** – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia ([annafrancesca.pattaro@unimore.it](mailto:annafrancesca.pattaro@unimore.it)), graduated in Business Economics from "Ca' Foscari" University in Venice and received a Ph. D. in "Network Management and Knowledge Management" from "Ca' Foscari" University in Venice – School for Advanced Studies in Venice (SSAV). She got the Italian National Qualification as Associate Professorship in Business Economics in 2013. She is Marie Curie Research Fellow for the "European Doctoral School on Knowledge and Management (EUDOKMA)" at the Department of Business Studies of the University

of Uppsala (Sweden) and Visiting Scholar at Public Management Institute of the Katholieke Universiteit of Leuven (Belgium). Teacher of Public Management in master degree courses. She participated in research projects on local governments and non-profit public and private organizations' finance and governance. Member of the research group for the "Energie Sisma Emilia" project.

**ROSITA PRETAROLI – University of Macerata** ([rosita.pretaroli@unimc.it](mailto:rosita.pretaroli@unimc.it)), since 2011, she has been researcher of Economic Policy at the University of Macerata where she teaches Economic Policy and Economics of Communication. In 2014, she was promoted to Associate Professor of Economic Policy. She received her Ph.D. in Economics from the Marche Polytechnic University, Faculty of Economics "G.Fuà", and a Master of Economics and Health Policy from the Consortium for Research and Continuing Education in Economics (CORIPE) in Turin . She is member of the University of Macerata research team developing the MACGEM-IT model in collaboration with the Italian Ministry of Economy and Finances, aimed at assessing the economic impact of fiscal policy. She is member of the International Input-Output Association and founding member of the University spin off AdviseEU Studio Project SRL of the University of Macerata, aimed at designing and financing research activities.

**CHRISTIAN QUINTILI – Action Aid** ([christian.quintili@actionaid.org](mailto:christian.quintili@actionaid.org)) is a social worker, born in 1983. He studied development and cooperation, non-profit economics and data journalism and has been working for ActionAid since 2007. He has been in charge of Emilia Romagna territorial activities since 2011 and of citizen engagement activities in the "Open Ricostruzione" project from 2012 to 2014. Since 2015, Quintili has been project manager of the project Integrity Pacts, Civil Control Mechanism for Safeguarding European Funds. In 2016, he coordinated ActionAid activities for the "Terremoto Centro Italia" project.

**MARCO RANUZZINI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia** ([marco.ranuzzini@unimore.it](mailto:marco.ranuzzini@unimore.it)) received a Ph.D. in Labour, Development and Innovation from the Marco Biagi Foundation. He currently collaborates on the research projects of CAPP (Centre for the Analysis of Public Policies) with the Department of Economics "Marco Biagi", University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. He also collaborates with the "Ermanno Gorrieri" Foundation for Social Studies. His research activity mainly concentrates on the evaluation of public policies and the action of local authorities, with particular reference to social welfare and anti-poverty policies and to the role of the third sector. He has been a research fellow in the "Energie Sisma Emilia" project, working on the impact of earthquake on local authorities in a public management perspective.

**MARGHERITA RUSSO – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia** ([margherita.russo@unimore.it](mailto:margherita.russo@unimore.it)) is a Full professor of Economic Policy at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. Her main research activities include analysis of processes of innovation and competence networks, effects of innovation on the organisation of labour, structure and change in local productive systems, evaluation of innovation policies. In the last decades she has been member of international research projects on innovation. She has also coordinated research projects on mechanical industry in Italy, on the assessment of policy innovation networks and on the socio-economic effects of the 2012 earthquake in Emilia (Italy). From 2000 to

2015, she was the scientific director of “Officina Emilia”, an action-research project of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, and since 2015 she has coordinated the research project “Energie Sisma Emilia”. She is a European Commission’s expert in Socio-economic Sciences and Humanities (SSH), representative of Italy in the WPTIP-Working Party on innovation and technology policy of the OECD Committee for scientific and technological policy and in the EUSALP - European Union Strategy for Alpine Regions.

**MASSIMO SARGOLINI – University of Camerino** ([massimo.sargolini@unicam.it](mailto:massimo.sargolini@unicam.it)) is an architect and Full Professor of Town and Regional Planning at the School of Architecture and Design of the University of Camerino (Italy). Coordinator of various international research projects on the relationship between quality of the territory and life, he carries out scientific research for national and international organisations. He is member of the Scientific and Technical Committee for Earthquake in central Italy for the Extraordinary Commissioner for Reconstruction and responsible for the Reconstruction and Development of the University of Camerino. He is director of the 2<sup>nd</sup> level master course “Paesaggi delle aree interne” of the University of Camerino and author of several publications on territorial and environmental planning.

**LAURA SARTORI – University of Bologna** ([l.sartori@unibo.it](mailto:l.sartori@unibo.it)) is Associate Professor of Sociology at the department of Political and Social Sciences of the University of Bologna. After her graduation in Economic Sociology with a thesis on the local development, in 2002 she obtained a Ph.D. in Sociology and Social Research from the University of Trento working on Internet social implications on consumption and ordinary life. Her current researches include: complementary currencies and social meaning of money; sociology of disasters and social innovation; social implications of the Internet of Things. She has recently published: *From Complementary Currency to Institution: A Micro-macro Study of the Sardex Mutual Credit System* (with P. Dini, in “Stato & Mercato”, 2016), and *Social Innovation and Natural Disasters: the Case of the “Casa Italia Plan”* (with F. Pagliacci and M. Russo in “Sociologia urbana e rurale”, 2017).

**SIMONE SCAGLIARINI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia** ([simone.scagliarini@unimore.it](mailto:simone.scagliarini@unimore.it)) graduated with honours in Law at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia and received a Ph.D. in Law, Methods and techniques of Law-making and Assessment from the Faculty of Law, University of Genoa (Italy). He is currently Associate Professor of Administrative Law at the Department of Economics “Marco Biagi”, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. Member of the Italian Association of Constitutionalists, he received the Italian National Scientific Qualification to serve as a Full Professor of Constitutional Law. He is the author of more than 60 publications, among which two monographs mainly about the themes of the sources of law, constitutional rights, regional law and constitutional justice. He collaborates with the research project “Energie Sisma Emilia” and was deputy president of FEDERCONSUMATORI Provincia di Modena, in charge of coordinating, among other tasks, the assistance operations provided to the citizens’ association following the earthquake in May 2012 and the flood in January 2014.

**EZIO SCATOLINI – University of Florence** ([ezio.scatolini@unifi.it](mailto:ezio.scatolini@unifi.it)), Enzo Spaltro’s pupil, he received a Ph.D. in Work and Organizational Psychology from the University of Bologna. He teaches at the School of Psychology of the University of Florence, where he conducts research on Social and Organizational Psychology. He is engaged in psychosocial interventions in organizational context, and in recent years he has focused on the collective and community levels, conducting experiments of participatory democracy (Town Meeting, World Cafè, Open Space Technology-OST, Metodo Phillips). Among his various educational publications, he wrote *La Ricerca-Azione. Cambiare per conoscere nei contesti organizzativi* together with some Italian university colleagues, and *Legami di valore, costruire credibilità nelle relazioni di fiducia*. At present, he is co-founder of Laborplay SRL, University of Florence’s spin off.

**PAOLO SILVESTRI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia** ([paolo.silvestri@unimore.it](mailto:paolo.silvestri@unimore.it)) is Full Professor of Financial Sciences at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia (Italy), Department of Economics “Marco Biagi”, where he also teaches Public Finance, Welfare Systems, Principles and methods of evaluation. He is also in charge of the University accreditation laboratory. Silvestri is director of the Center for the Analysis of Public Policies (CAPP) and President of the Internal evaluation unit at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. He is author of publications, working papers and contributions in daily newspapers and encyclopaedias about public finance. His research activity mainly concentrates on the analysis of industrial subsidies, finance of higher education systems, budget policies, and the distributive effects of fiscal and welfare policies. He has conducted, on behalf of the CAPP, three sample surveys on the socio-economic condition of families living in the province of Modena (ICESMO: 2002, 2006 and 2013).

**CLAUDIO SOCCI – University of Macerata** ([claudio.socci@unimc.it](mailto:claudio.socci@unimc.it)), first researcher of Economic Policy at the Department of Economics and Law, University of Macerata (Italy), was then promoted to Associate Professor and then Full Professor of Economic Policy. He teaches Economic Policy and Regional Economic Policy in the bachelor’s degree course in Cultural Heritage and Tourism. He received his Ph.D. and Master in Economics from the Marche Polytechnic University (Italy) and specialised in “Computable General Equilibrium and GAMS” at the University of Las Palmas (Spain). He is scientific supervisor of the MACGEM-IT, a static Computable General Equilibrium Model (CGE) for the Italian economy developed by the Direction I at the Treasury Department in cooperation with the Department of Economics and Law of the University of Macerata. The model is aimed at quantifying the direct and indirect impacts of fiscal policies. He is member of the International Input-Output Association and President of AdvisEU Studio Project SRL, spin off of the University of Macerata.

**MAURO SOLDATI – University of Modena and Reggio Emilia** ([mauro.soldati@unimore.it](mailto:mauro.soldati@unimore.it)) is a geologist and Associate Professor at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. He teaches Geomorphology and Geological risks and civil protection at the Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences. President of the bachelor degree programme in Geological Sciences, Director of the Advanced Course in Territorial and Environmental-Health Emergency (EMTASK)

of the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, and Deputy Chairman of the International Association of Geomorphologists. His research activities focus on geological risks, with a particular emphasis on landslide hazard in mountainous and coastal areas. He coordinated national and international research projects on those themes, the results of which have been published in different international reviews.

**MATTEO TEMPESTINI – “Terremoto Centro Italia” Project,** ([mtempes-tini@gmail.com](mailto:mtempes-tini@gmail.com)) is a computer engineer born in 1980 who has been working in the railway signalling field, since 2006. Always passionate about technology, in the last 5 years he has been experimenting with civic technologies. He is the creator of the smart city project for the city of Prato (Italy), “Pratosmart”, a weblab of technology experimentation for the territory of Prato and its province. He was awarded the “HackToscana” prize in 2014, and his work is intended to demonstrate that civic hacking is not just some geek stuff but a real culture. The aim of Tempestini’s activism is showing how Internet and new technologies are to be considered more of a means to an end rather than an end in itself. In that sense, other projects are “Emergenze Prato”, “EsciLaRicetta” and “Terremoto Centro Italia”.

**FABIO TURATO – University of Urbino “Carlo Bo”** ([fabio.turato@uni-urb.it](mailto:fabio.turato@uni-urb.it)) is Professor of International Relations at the University of Urbino “Carlo Bo”(Italy), where he conducts his research activity at LAPOLIS, the Laboratory of Political and Social Studies. He is researcher for Demos & Pi, an institute that conducts ongoing research on Italian society, and collaborates with Osservatorio di Pavia and the Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI). He is interested in comparative politics and foreign policy communication, with particular reference to the internationalization of political and socioeconomic processes. At present, he is studying populism in his European varieties, with a particular focus on leadership and its reflection on national and community policies. Turato has published several essays in books and journals, among which *Limes – Italian Review of Geopolitics*, *Rivista delle politiche sociali*. In 2013, he published for Aracne the volume *Opinione pubblica e politica estera. Leader, mass media e personalizzazione*.

**GIANLUCA VALENISE – National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV),** ([gianluca.valensise@ingv.it](mailto:gianluca.valensise@ingv.it)), was born in Rome in 1958, graduated in Geological Science (1982) and got a Ph.D. in Earth Sciences, specialising in Geophysics (1987). Since 1983, he has been working for the National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (National Institute of Geophysics until 2000). From 1987 to 1994, he spent about three years in the United States working for several institutions. Since 1997, he has been Research Manager of the National Institute of Geophysics, and since 1998 he has been involved in the drafting of all documentation at the basis of the current Italian seismic regulations. His research activity developed around many fields: Structural Seismology, Historical Seismology and Earthquake Geology.

**VANIA VIRGILI – Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics** ([vania.virgili@lnf.infn.it](mailto:vania.virgili@lnf.infn.it)) is Chief Technologist at the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics (INFN) and Counselor of the Italian Minister for Cultural Heritage, Activities and Tourism (MIBACT). She graduated in Cultural Heritage Sciences (2007) and received a Ph.D. in Chemical Sciences (2011) from La Sapienza University of Rome. From 2007 to 2012, she dealt with the management of European



research and development projects on cultural heritage and, from 2012 to 2016, with European research infrastructures for social and cultural innovation. Since December 2016, she has been national delegate to the Programme Committee of “SC6-Europe in a Changing World: Inclusive, Innovative and Reflective Society”, for Horizon 2020. Her publications include several articles and position papers on cultural innovation. Vania Virgili was born in Offida (Italy) in 1979.